

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,154	06/27/2003	Koichiro Nakatani	116377	5176
25944	7590 11/16/2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & E	BERRIDGE, PLC	NGUYEN, TU MINH		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11007111107	, ,,, ,,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		3748	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/607,154	NAKATANI ET A	L.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tu M. Nguyen	3748				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE M - Extens after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFAULTING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stably received by the Office later than three months after the may patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, in the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (to tute, cause the application to because.	may a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered time by MONTHS from the mailing date of this bome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status							
1) 🗌 F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) 🔲 🏻 T	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	n of Claims						
5)□ C 6)図 C 7)図 C	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4,11-22,25 and 27-32 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 5-10,23,24 and 26 is/are objected to.						
Applicatio	n Papers						
10)⊠ T	the specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> is/are: Example and properties that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ he drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the atta	ached Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
a)⊠ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal   All   b)	ents have been received ents have been received riority documents have leau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	I. I in Application No been received in this Nationa	l Stage			
Attachment(s	•	_					
2) ☐ Notice ( 3) ⊠ Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ition Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date <u>062703</u> .	Pape (08) 5) [ Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PT r:	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/607,154

Art Unit: 3748

# Page 2

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 11-21, 25, and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molinier (U.S. Patent 6,758,036) in view of Takahashi et al. (U.S. Patent 6,679,050).

Re claims 1, 18, and 19, as shown in Figure 6, Molinier disclose a device for purifying exhaust gas for an engine having an exhaust passage, the engine being operated with a lean airfuel ratio, the device comprising:

- a SOx storage (3) arranged in the exhaust passage for temporarily storing SOx contained in an exhaust gas inflowing therein;
- an auxiliary catalyst (5) arranged in the exhaust passage downstream of the SOx storage, the auxiliary catalyst having an oxidizing ability;
- SOx discharging means (2A, 2B) for discharging SOx stored in the SOx storage therefrom; and
  - atmosphere control means (4, 9) for controlling an atmosphere of the auxiliary catalyst,

wherein discharge of SOx stored in the SOx storage therefrom is prevented or suppressed when the auxiliary catalyst is in, or is turned to, a sulfate forming atmosphere in which an amount of a reducing agent contained in the exhaust gas flowing to the auxiliary catalyst is smaller than an allowable minimum amount (i.e., when an air-fuel ratio of the exhaust gas at the auxiliary catalyst is lean or less than stoichiometry) (according to the Abstract, a regeneration of the SOx storage (3) is suppressed until a rich environment is established at the auxiliary catalyst (5)); and

wherein, when SOx stored in the SOx storage is discharged therefrom with the atmosphere of the auxiliary catalyst being in a sulfate forming atmosphere in which an amount of a reducing agent contained in the exhaust gas flowing to the auxiliary catalyst is smaller than an allowable minimum amount, the atmosphere of the auxiliary catalyst is changed to an atmosphere (rich) other than the sulfate forming atmosphere (lean), and when SOx stored in the SOx storage is discharged therefrom with the atmosphere of the auxiliary catalyst being in an atmosphere other than the sulfate forming atmosphere, the atmosphere of the auxiliary catalyst is maintained at an atmosphere (rich) other than the sulfate forming atmosphere (also see lines 34-40 of column 2 and line 61 of column 5 to line 6 of column 6).

Molinier, however, fails to disclose that in a sulfate forming atmosphere, a temperature of the auxiliary catalyst is higher than an allowable maximum temperature.

As shown in Figure 1, Takahashi et al. teach an exhaust emission control device for an engine, in which a sulfate forming atmosphere for a catalyst (9) is clearly defined. As indicated on lines 17-36 of column 5, the catalyst (9) only adsorbs SOx during a lean air-fuel ratio

Art Unit: 3748

environment and adsorbs more SOx when a temperature of the catalyst is higher than a predetermined temperature. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to have utilized the teaching by Takahashi et al. to recognize that during a sulfate forming atmosphere, a temperature of the auxiliary catalyst (5) in Molinier is higher than an allowable maximum temperature.

Re claims 2 and 20, the device of Molinier further comprises means (4, 9) for controlling the temperature of the auxiliary catalyst (5), wherein the temperature of the auxiliary catalyst is lowered to, or maintained at, a temperature which is not higher than the allowable maximum temperature, to change the atmosphere of the auxiliary catalyst to, or maintain the atmosphere of the auxiliary catalyst at an atmosphere other than the sulfate forming atmosphere.

Re claims 3 and 21, the device of Molinier further comprises means (4, 9) for controlling an amount of the reducing agent contained in the exhaust gas flowing to the auxiliary catalyst (5), wherein the amount of the reducing agent is increased to, or maintained at an amount which is not smaller than the allowable minimum amount, to change the atmosphere of the auxiliary catalyst to, or maintain the atmosphere of the auxiliary catalyst at, an atmosphere other than the sulfate forming atmosphere.

Re claims 11 and 25, in the device of Molinier, the temperature of the SOx storage (3) is maintained at a temperature not lower than a SOx amount reduction required temperature (a desorbed or discharged SOx temperature) which is higher than the allowable maximum temperature while an air-fuel ratio of the exhaust gas flowing to the SOx storage is maintained at a rich or stoichiometric air-fuel ratio, to discharge SOx stored in the SOx storage therefrom.

Re claims 12 and 27, in the device of Molinier, the SOx discharging means comprises means for obtaining an amount of SOx stored in the SOx storage, and SOx stored in the SOx storage is discharged therefrom when the amount of SOx stored in the SOx storage is lager than an allowable SOx amount (see Takahashi et al.: steps S105-S106).

Re claims 13 and 28, in the device of Molinier, the SOx storage (3) comprises a storage which stores SOx contained in the inflowing exhaust gas in a form of sulfate salt (lines 40-56 of column 3).

Re claims 14 and 29, in the device of Molinier, the SOx storage (3) comprises a storage (a precious metal) which stores SOx contained in the inflowing exhaust gas without forming sulfate salt (lines 31-39 of column 3).

Re claims 15 and 30, in the device of Molinier, the SOx storage (3) comprises a NOx catalyst which stores therein NOx contained in the inflowing exhaust gas when the air-fuel ratio of the inflowing exhaust gas is lean, and reduces NOx stored therein to reduce an amount of NOx stored therein when a reducing agent is contained in the inflowing exhaust gas and the air-fuel ratio of the inflowing exhaust gas is lowered (the SOx storage (3) also includes a NOx trap (lines 31-39 of column 3) which absorbs NOx in the exhaust gas when the inflowing exhaust gas is lean).

Re claims 16 and 31, in the device of Molinier, the auxiliary catalyst (8) includes precious metals such as platinum without including alkali metals, alkali earth metals, and rare earth metals.

Re claims 17 and 32, in the device of Molinier, the auxiliary catalyst (5) comprises a NOx catalyst which stores therein NOx contained in the inflowing exhaust gas when the air-fuel ratio of the inflowing exhaust gas is lean, and reducing NOx stored therein to reduce an amount of NOx stored therein when a reducing agent is contained in the inflowing exhaust gas and the air-fuel ratio of the inflowing exhaust gas is lowered.

3. Claims 4 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molinier in view of Takahashi et al. as applied to claims 1 and 18, respectively, above, and further in view of Hirota et al. (U.S. Patent 5,974,791).

The device of Molinier discloses the invention as cited above, however, fails to disclose that the SOx storage is carried on a particulate filter for collecting particulates contained in the inflowing exhaust gas.

As shown in Figure 1, Hirota et al. teach an exhaust gas purification device for an engine, comprising at least a DPF (10a) having an alkali metal and/or alkali earth metal (lines 59-64 of column 5 and lines 44-49 of column 6) to absorb SOx in the exhaust gas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to have utilized the DPF taught by Hirota et al. in the device of Molinier, since the use thereof would have also eliminated harmful soot emission in the exhaust gas.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,154 Page 7

Art Unit: 3748

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5-10, 23, 24, and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Prior Art

- 5. The IDS (PTO-1449) filed on June 27, 2003 has been considered. An initialized copy is attached hereto.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and consists of six patents and three patent applications: Takeshima et al. (U.S. Patent 5,473,890), Araki et al. (U.S. Patent 5,850,735), Boegner et al. (U.S. Patent 6,119,450), Strehlau et al. (U.S. Patent 6,145,303), Gunther et al. (U.S. Patent 6,318,075), Boegner et al. (U.S. Patent 6,408,620), Bruggemann et al. (U.S. Patent Application 2002/0033017), Kitahara et al. (U.S. Patent Application 2003/0213235), and Schnaibel et al. (U.S. Patent Application 2004/0011028) further disclose a state of the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,154

Art Unit: 3748

### Communication

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2833 or (571) 272-4862 to be effective on November 24, 2004.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (703) 308-2623 or (571) 272-4859 to be effective on November 24, 2004. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

**TMN** 

November 14, 2004

Tu M. Nguyen

Tu M. Nguyen

Page 8

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3748